TOBACCO SETTLEMENT PROGRAM

St. Luke's Hospital Bethlehem Tobacco Settlement Payment Data Year 2025

December 2024



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

November 13, 2024

Ms. Francine Botek Senior Vice President St. Luke's University Health Network 801 Ostrum Street Bethlehem, PA 18015

Re: St. Luke's Hospital Bethlehem

Dear Ms. Botek:

The Tobacco Settlement Act of June 26, 2001 (P.L. 755, No. 77), as amended, 35 P.S. § 5701.101 et seq., mandated the Department of Human Services (DHS) to make payments to hospitals for a portion of uncompensated care services provided by these facilities. Hospitals that qualify can receive payments using either an uncompensated care approach or an extraordinary expense approach. The uncompensated care approach is based on the hospital's uncompensated care score. The uncompensated care score is determined by using three-year averages from five main data elements (for a total of fifteen data elements). These data elements are uncompensated care costs, net patient revenues, Medicare supplemental security income (Medicare SSI) days, Medical Assistance (MA) days and total inpatient days. The extraordinary expense approach is based on the total costs of the qualified claims. Qualified claims are those claims in which the cost of the claim exceeds twice the average cost of all claims for that particular facility and for which the hospital provided inpatient services to an uninsured patient.

Upon request from DHS, we developed procedures to be performed for each facility that may be eligible to receive a payment for the provision of uncompensated care services to determine the eligibility of reported claims and the accuracy of days data reported by the facility. DHS agreed that the procedures were appropriate to meet its needs and approved the procedures. We obtained records from St. Luke's Hospital Bethlehem (facility) and performed the established procedures to substantiate the claims data and days data it submitted to the Pennsylvania Health Care Cost Containment Council (PHC4) and DHS, respectively.¹

¹ This engagement was not required to be and was not conducted in accordance with professional auditing or attestation standards.

The purpose of this engagement was to determine whether this facility reported any potentially eligible extraordinary expense claims for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2023 and, if so, verify whether corresponding patients were uninsured and the facility received no compensation from third party payers such as Medicare, Medicaid, or Blue Cross. Payments made by the patients themselves toward their financial obligations may have reduced the allowable costs of the respective claim when determining eligibility. We also determined whether this facility could substantiate total inpatient days and total MA days as reported on its submitted MA-336 cost reports, if filed with DHS, for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022. We obtained computer processed data from the facility (i.e. account notes and billing information for claims and census reports for days) to determine the eligibility of reported claims and the accuracy of days data reported by the facility. Because of the extensive amount of time that would be required to visit the facility and perform procedures to evaluate the reliability of this data in the facility's information system, DHS management stated that the performance of such procedures is not necessary to meet DHS' needs. As such, we have classified this computer processed data as data of undetermined reliability.

The results of our procedures are as follows:

For Reported Claims:

Based on the PHC4 claims database for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2023, the facility reported 27 potentially eligible extraordinary expense claims. The results of our procedures disclosed that 18 of the 27 reported potentially eligible extraordinary expense claims met the criteria to qualify as extraordinary expense claims. The chart below details our results and explains any adjustments that your facility should make to the PHC4 Database. Since we determined that 18 of the 27 reported claims submitted by the facility qualify as extraordinary expense claims, this facility could be eligible for payment under the extraordinary expense method for the 2025 Tobacco Settlement Payment Year.

| | | Substantiated | Patient | | |
|-------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Originally | Total Charges | Payments | Qualify (Yes/No) | |
| Claim | Reported | Based on | Applied to | – Reason for Not | Adjustment(s) |
| No. | Total Charges | Account Notes | Account | Qualifying | Needed |
| 1 | \$996,207.84 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by | Claim should be |
| | | | | Insurance | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 2 | \$736,370.87 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by | Claim should be |
| | | | | Medical | removed from |
| | | | | Assistance | self-pay listing |
| 3 | \$571,700.90 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by | Claim should be |
| | | | | Insurance | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 4 | \$559,777.92 | \$559,047.92 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |

| | | Substantiated | Patient | | |
|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Originally | Total Charges | Payments | Qualify (Yes/No) | |
| Claim | Reported | Based on | Applied to | – Reason for Not | Adjustment(s) |
| No. | Total Charges | Account Notes | Account | Qualifying | Needed |
| 5 | \$459,711.84 | \$459,676.94 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable ² |
| 6 | \$421,211.95 | \$420,481.95 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| Ŭ | ¢ 121,2111,90 | ¢ 120,101190 | <i>40.00</i> | 1.00 | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |
| 7 | \$396,344.25 | \$396,344.25 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 8 | \$379,214.96 | \$379,034.06 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |
| 9 | \$349,631.76 | \$349,596.86 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable ² |
| 10 | \$342,726.42 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by the | Claim should be |
| | | | | Patient | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 11 | \$336,067.42 | \$336,067.42 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 12 | \$332,261.94 | \$332,261.94 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 13 | \$323,203.75 | \$323,203.75 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 14 | \$313,529.96 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No-Allowable | Claim should be |
| | | | | Charges are | removed from |
| | | | | Below | self-pay listing |
| | | | | Threshold ³ | |
| 15 | \$304,155.76 | \$303,571.76 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |
| 16 | \$302,777.03 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Still an | Claim should be |
| | | | | Active Claim | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 17 | \$286,763.26 | \$286,763.26 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 18 | \$284,937.63 | \$283,915.63 | \$94.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |
| 19 | \$281,538.08 | \$281,538.08 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 20 | \$279,946.90 | \$279,946.90 | \$0.00 | Yes | Not Applicable |
| 21 | \$275,515.41 | \$275,296.41 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |

² The difference between the originally reported total charges and the substantiated total charges based on account notes is immaterial, therefore, no adjustment is needed.

³ During our review, we noted a charge adjustment that resulted in a decrease in total charges, bringing the substantiated total allowable charges to \$231,490.18. Because this total is less than the facility's threshold of \$255,349.60, the claim does not qualify as an extraordinary expense claim.

| | | Substantiated | Patient | | |
|-------|---------------|---------------|------------|------------------------|------------------|
| | Originally | Total Charges | Payments | Qualify (Yes/No) | |
| Claim | Reported | Based on | Applied to | – Reason for Not | Adjustment(s) |
| No. | Total Charges | Account Notes | Account | Qualifying | Needed |
| 22 | \$271,434.13 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Allowable | Claim should be |
| | | | | Charges are | removed from |
| | | | | Below | self-pay listing |
| | | | | Threshold ⁴ | |
| 23 | \$266,492.39 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by the | Claim should be |
| | | | | Patient | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 24 | \$261,780.80 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | No – Paid by the | Claim should be |
| | | | | Patient | removed from |
| | | | | | self-pay listing |
| 25 | \$261,570.37 | \$261,351.37 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |
| 26 | \$260,772.81 | \$260,772.81 | \$0.00 | Yes | No |
| 27 | \$259,987.59 | \$259,841.59 | \$0.00 | Yes | An adjustment is |
| | | | | | needed to total |
| | | | | | charges |

For Total Inpatient Days and Total MA Days:

For the total inpatient days and total MA days for fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, our results are as follows:

| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| | of Days | Source Documents | |
| Total Inpatient Days | 205,773 | 205,773 | Not Applicable |
| | | | |
| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
| | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| | of Days | Source Documents | |
| FFS Days | 6,297 | 6,297 | Not Applicable |
| | | | |
| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
| HMO Days | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| | of Days | Source Documents | |
| Aetna Better Health | 1,025 | 1,025 | Not Applicable |
| PA | | | |
| Amerihealth Caritas | 10,464 | 10,464 | Not Applicable |

⁴ During our review, we noted a charge adjustment that resulted in a decrease in total charges, bringing the substantiated total allowable charges to \$77,192.29. Because this total is less than the facility's threshold of \$255,349.60, the claim does not qualify as an extraordinary expense claim.

| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| HMO Days | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| (Continued) | of Days | Source Documents | |
| Amerihealth Caritas | 4 | 4 | Not Applicable |
| PA | | | |
| Gateway Health | 2,426 | 2,426 | Not Applicable |
| Plan | | | |
| Geisinger GHP | 1,691 | 1,691 | Not Applicable |
| Family | | | |
| Health Partners | 85 | 85 | Not Applicable |
| Keystone First | 645 | 645 | Not Applicable |
| Northampton Co | 2,879 | 2,879 | Not Applicable |
| Magellan Medicaid | | | |
| Lehigh Co Magellan | 5,989 | 5,989 | Not Applicable |
| Medicaid | 100 | 102 | ХТ / А 1º 1 1 |
| Montgomery Co | 103 | 103 | Not Applicable |
| Magellan Medicaid | 104 | 104 | |
| United Community | 124 | 124 | Not Applicable |
| Families of PA | ~ | ~ | |
| United Comm Kids | 5 | 5 | Not Applicable |
| United Community | 671 | 671 | Not Applicable |
| of PA | 0.50 | 050 | |
| UPMC Health Plan | 850 | 850 | Not Applicable |
| Bucks Co Magellan Medicaid | 555 | 555 | Not Applicable |
| | 3,542 | 2 5 4 2 | Not Applicable |
| Community Care Behavioral Health | 5,542 | 3,542 | Not Applicable |
| Amerigroup | 82 | 82 | Not Applicable |
| Community Care | 02 | 02 | Not Applicable |
| Amerihealth Caritas | 921 | 921 | Not Applicable |
| Community | 721 |)21 | Not Applicable |
| Healthchoices | | | |
| Keystone First | 117 | 117 | Not Applicable |
| Community | 11, | | |
| Healthchoices | | | |
| PA Health and | 152 | 152 | Not Applicable |
| Wellness | | | 11 |
| Community | | | |
| Healthchoices | | | |
| Misc Medicaid | 289 | 289 | Not Applicable |
| МСО | | | |
| Wellcare Medicaid | 32 | 32 | Not Applicable |
| Geisinger GHP Kids | 20 | 20 | Not Applicable |
| Delaware Co | 12 | 12 | Not Applicable |
| Magellan Medicaid | | | |

| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| HMO Days | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| (Continued) | of Days | Source Documents | |
| Highmark | 2,209 | 2,209 | Not Applicable |
| Wholecare MA | | | |
| МСО | | | |

| For FYE 6/30/22 | Originally | Substantiated | Explanation of |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| OOS Days | Submitted Number | Number Based on | Difference |
| | of Days | Source Documents | |
| Delaware | 2 | 2 | Not Applicable |
| Maryland | 7 | 7 | Not Applicable |
| New Jersey | 938 | 938 | Not Applicable |
| New York | 481 | 481 | Not Applicable |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 3 | Not Applicable |
| Connecticut | 23 | 23 | Not Applicable |
| Florida | 23 | 23 | Not Applicable |
| Out of State | 68 | 0 | No Overall Variance |
| California | 0 | 24 | |
| Wisconsin | 0 | 4 | |
| North Carolina | 0 | 3 | |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 16 | |
| Ohio | 0 | 3 | |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 4 | |
| Nevada | 0 | 6 | |
| Virginia | 0 | 8 | |

PHC4 will contact you with instructions regarding entering adjustments to your facility's originally submitted claims during the self-verification process. The facility's failure to remove any claims identified as not qualifying as extraordinary expense claims from the PHC4 self-pay claims listing during the self-verification process will result in the facility's records in the PHC4 database being inaccurate and DHS concluding that the facility is ineligible for payment under the extraordinary expense method. In addition to completing adjustments in the PHC4 database, any revisions to originally submitted days data on your facility's MA-336 Cost Report should be submitted through the iPACRs system based on the results of our procedures.

We are in the process of conducting engagements for all facilities that are potentially eligible for a 2025 Tobacco Settlement subsidy entitlement payment. After all the engagements are completed, we will prepare for DHS' use a report detailing the results of all of our engagements.

DHS will use each hospital's verified PHC4 database and revised MA-336 Cost Report to pull reported claims and number of days to calculate this facility's eligibility to receive, and if deemed eligible, its subsidy entitlement under both the extraordinary expense and uncompensated care methods. If eligible under both methods, DHS will allow the facility to choose the method to be used to calculate the facility's 2025 Tobacco Settlement subsidy entitlement payment. DHS establishes the date that these payments will be distributed to all eligible hospitals.

As a reminder, this facility was to submit, by October 31, 2024, any claims coded as having Medicare, Medicaid, or any other insurance when submitted to the PHC4 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2023, which the facility believed qualified as self-pay claims, and which had total charges above the facility's threshold of \$255,349.60; we refer to these types of claims as "additional claims." As of October 31, 2024, St. Luke's Hospital Bethlehem submitted four additional claims for review. For facilities that submitted additional claims, we will send the results of our procedure separately to each respective hospital.

We thank the staff of St. Luke's University Health Network for the cooperation extended to us during the course of our engagement. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits – Hospital and Tobacco Division at 717-787-1159.

Sincerely,

Timothy L. Detoor

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

ST. LUKE'S HOSPITAL BETHLEHEM REPORT DISTRIBUTION 2025 TOBACCO SETTLEMENT PAYMENT DATA

This report was initially distributed to:

Ms. Sally Kozak

Deputy Secretary Office of Medical Assistance Programs Department of Human Services

Mr. R. Dennis Welker

Special Audit Services Bureau of Audits Office of the Budget

Mr. David Bryan

Manager Audit Resolution Department of Human Services

Ms. Francine Botek

Senior Vice President St. Luke's University Health Network

Mr. Joel Conaway

Senior Reimbursement Coordinator St. Luke's University Health Network

Mr. Alexander Matolyak Director Division of Audit and Review Department of Human Services

Ms. Tina Long Director Bureau of Financial Operations Department of Human Services

Ms. Erica Eisenacher HSPS Bureau of Fiscal Management Department of Human Services

Mr. Scott Wolfe Chief Financial Officer St. Luke's University Health Network

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <u>news@PaAuditor.gov</u>.