ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Township of Sugarcreek

Armstrong County, Pennsylvania 03-224

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022

October 2024



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Michael Carroll Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Forms MS-965 in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Forms MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Forms MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our qualified opinion.

We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with ethical requirements relating to the engagement.

As described in the Auditor Description Of Select Transactions section of this report, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in the Finding and Recommendations section of this report:

- The municipality expended \$13,806.43 during 2021 and \$4,318.09 during 2022 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase fuel in bulk quantities. However, records for the dispensation of these fuel purchases were not maintained (see Finding No. 1).
- The township expended \$13,324.08 and \$18,000.00 during 2021 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for anti-skid and equipment rental, respectively. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination (see Finding No. 2).
- The municipality expended \$9,265.00 during 2021 for hauling shale and \$6,575.04 during 2021 and \$4,156.94 during 2022 for anti-skid that was not approved by the Department of Transportation from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which are nonpermissible expenditures. The anti-skid purchases in 2021 were also included in Finding No. 2 (see Finding No. 3).

In our opinion, except for the bulleted matters discussed above, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, for the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022, in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Forms MS-965; any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements; and abuse that has a material effect on the Forms MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the finding listed below, that we consider to be a material weakness in internal control:

• Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Forms MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.
- Nonpermissible Expenditures.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Township of Sugarcreek, Armstrong County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

August 19, 2024

CONTENTS

Page

Background	1
Financial Section:	
2021 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
2022 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	8
Auditor Description Of Select Transactions	11
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained	13
Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For	
Examination	15
Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures	18
Summary Of Onsite Closeout Meeting	20
Report Distribution	21

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, see also 72 P.S. § 2615.5, et sec., provides municipalities other than counties (i.e., townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based upon: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state; and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

Title 75 Pa.C.S. § 9511 of the Pennsylvania Vehicle Code provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31st and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15th.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

Criteria

The criteria for the Form MS-965 With Adjustment are described below.

Section 1 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

2019	2020	2021	2022
\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00	\$11,800.00

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

_	2019	2020	2021	2022
	\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00	\$11,800.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Background (Continued)

Section 2 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Background (Continued)

Basis of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Minor equipment purchases	\$	280.55	\$	-	\$	280.55
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		_
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		_
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		29,310.51		-		29,310.51
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		9,302.00		-		9,302.00
Repairs of tools and machinery		21,003.39		-		21,003.39
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges	1	156,005.11		6,222.20		162,227.31
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		_		-		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 2	215,901.56	\$	6,222.20	\$	222,123.76

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance		Reported	A	djustments	 Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2021	\$ 61,781.92		\$ -		\$ 61,781.92
Receipts:					
2. State allocation		152,998.79		-	152,998.79
2a. Turnback allocation		25,320.00		-	25,320.00
2b. Interest on investments		48.82		-	48.82
2c. Miscellaneous		768.48			768.48
3. Total receipts		179,136.09			179,136.09
4. Total funds available		240,918.01			240,918.01
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		215,901.56		6,222.20	222,123.76
6. Balance, December 31, 2021	\$	25,016.45	\$	(6,222.20)	\$ 18,794.25

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2021 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	57,902.43	\$	-	\$	57,902.43
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)		35,663.76		-		35,663.76
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		93,566.19		-		93,566.19
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures				<u>-</u>		
6. Remainder		93,566.19				93,566.19
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	25,016.45	\$	(6,222.20)	\$	18,794.25

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Minor equipment purchases	\$	319.08	\$	(319.08)	\$	-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		15,950.61		(3,749.01)		12,201.60
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		39,249.94		319.08		39,569.02
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		36,763.77		60,545.18		97,308.95
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		63,018.37		(63,018.37)		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	155,301.77	\$	(6,222.20)	\$	149,079.57

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2022	\$ 25,016.45		\$	(6,222.20)	\$	18,794.25
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		150,039.11		-		150,039.11
2a. Turnback allocation		25,320.00		-		25,320.00
2b. Interest on investments		49.50		-		49.50
2c. Miscellaneous		4,156.94				4,156.94
3. Total receipts		179,565.55				179,565.55
4. Total funds available		204,582.00		(6,222.20)		198,359.80
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		155,301.77		(6,222.20)		149,079.57
6. Balance, December 31, 2022	\$	49,280.23	\$	_	\$	49,280.23

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

\$ 25,016.45	_			
20,0100	\$	(6,222.20)	\$	18,794.25
35,071.82		-		35,071.82
<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>
60,088.27		(6,222.20)		53,866.07
60,088.27		(6,222.20)		53,866.07
\$ 49.280.23	\$	_	\$	49,280.23
\$	60,088.27	60,088.27	60,088.27 (6,222.20) 60,088.27 (6,222.20)	60,088.27 (6,222.20)

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND AUDITOR DESCRIPTION OF SELECT TRANSACTIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2021 TO DECEMBER 31, 2022

The following information relates to certain types of transactions for which the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation requested that we provide additional detail.

Adjustments

2021 - Section 1

An adjustment of \$6,222.20 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because check No. 10181 was issued in 2021 but was reported as an expenditure in 2022.

2022 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Minor equipment purchases" and "Repairs of tools and machinery" because expenditures of \$319.08 were misclassified.

An adjustment of \$(3,749.01) was made to "Winter maintenance services" because these expenditures were overstated.

An adjustment of \$60,545.18 was made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges" because these expenditures were understated.

An adjustment of \$(63,018.37) was made to "Miscellaneous" expenditures because there were no miscellaneous expenditures.

2022 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(6,222.20) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2022" to reflect the adjustment made to the fund balance in 2021 - Section 2.

2022 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(6,222.20) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment made to the equipment balance in 2021 - Section 3.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND AUDITOR DESCRIPTION OF SELECT TRANSACTIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2021 TO DECEMBER 31, 2022

Miscellaneous Receipts

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2021	2022
Vendor	Refund of overpayment	\$715.48	\$ -
Vendor	Refund for bank service charges	53.00	-
General Fund	Reimbursement for Anti-Skid (see Finding No. 2)		4,156.94
Totals		\$768.48	\$4,156.94

Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$13,806.43 during 2021 and \$4,318.09 during 2022 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase fuel in bulk quantities. However, records for the dispensation of these fuel purchases were not maintained.

To ensure good internal control of fuel purchases and usage, the municipality should maintain records listing the following information:

- Date.
- Number of gallons pumped.
- License number or identity of the vehicle.
- Intended use.
- Signature of the operator.

The municipality stated that this condition occurred because the municipality did not maintain fuel logs until the current secretary/treasurer requested the fuel dispensation records and it was discovered there were no records being maintained. The current secretary/treasurer implemented a fuel dispensation record policy in July 2022 and the municipality has maintained records since.

Without fuel dispensation records, there is no record that fuel was used for purposes permitted by the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law. As a result, we could not determine if the expenditures made from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to purchase the fuel were permissible.

The failure to maintain records of fuel dispensation as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$18,124.52 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Finding No. 1 - Fuel Dispensation Records Not Maintained (Continued)

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$18,124.52 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality prepare detailed fuel usage reports to ensure good internal controls over fuel purchases and usage.

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

Fuel dispensation records were not kept for 2021 and the first quarter of 2022.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the township expended \$13,324.08 and \$18,000.00 during 2021 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for anti-skid and equipment rental, respectively. The township was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for these expenditures. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The anti-skid and equipment rental expenditures were as follows:

Invoice	Invoice	Check	Check		
<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Date</u>	Amount	Totals
31795	02/12/2021	2150	02/21/2021	\$ 804.13	
31816	02/19/2021	2152	02/21/2021	1,585.68	
32482	09/10/2021	2188	09/27/2021	3,177.64	
32459	09/01/2021	2189	09/27/2021	1,181.59	
Total state appro	oved anti-skid				\$6,749.04
31795	02/12/2021	2150	02/21/2021	\$ 800.99	
31816	02/19/2021	2152	02/21/2021	1,629.93	
32482	09/10/2021	2188	09/27/2021	3,373.79	
32459	09/01/2021	2189	09/27/2021	770.33	
Total nonpermis	sible anti-skid				*6,575.04
0.421.040	0.4/2.0/2.02.1	2174	0.5 /0.4 /0.001	# < 000 00	
0421049	04/30/2021	2174	05/24/2021	\$ 6,000.00	
0621071	06/16/2021	2180	07/05/2021	12,000.00	
Total Equipmen	t Rental				18,000.00
Total Equipmen	i Keniai				10,000.00
2021 Total					\$31,324.08

^{*}These expenditures are also included in Finding No. 3.

<u>Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination</u> (Continued)

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(b), (also found at § 3102(b) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts [during 2012] that exceed ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of \$18,500.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

The thresholds for obtaining price quotations increased to purchases between \$11,500.00 and \$21,300.00 for 2021, \$11,800.00 and \$21,900.00 for 2022, \$12,200.00 and \$22,500.00 for 2023, and \$12,600.00 and \$23,200.00 for 2024.

The municipality stated that this condition occurred because they were not aware they were over the quotation threshold for anti-skid, as well as only receiving one quotation for equipment rental.

The failure to follow *The Second Class Township Code*, the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$31,324.08 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the township reimburse \$31,324.08 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the township comply with *The Second Class Township Code*, the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

<u>Finding No. 2 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)</u>

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

There were no records for phone quotes for 2021 anti-skid purchases. Also, we only received one phone quote for equipment. This occurred prior to May 2022 when I started as secretary/treasurer.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$9,265.00 during 2021 for hauling shale and \$6,575.04 during 2021 and \$4,156.94 during 2022 for anti-skid that was not approved by the Department of Transportation from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which are nonpermissible expenditures. The anti-skid purchases in 2021 were also included in Finding No. 2.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including hauling shale and anti-skid not approved by the Department of Transportation, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The municipality reimbursed \$4,156.94 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on December 5, 2022, for the anti-skid purchases made in 2022.

We were unable to determine the cause of this condition.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$15,840.04 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

If the Department of Transportation does not require reimbursement for Finding No. 2, we recommend that the municipality reimburse \$15,840.04 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation. If reimbursement is required for Finding No. 2, we recommend that the municipality reimburse \$9,265.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Finding No. 3 - Nonpermissible Expenditures (Continued)

Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

Shale hauled should not have been paid out of Liquid Fuels.

Auditor's Conclusion

The secretary/treasurer did not specifically address the anti-skid purchases in relation to this finding. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK ARMSTRONG COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF ONSITE CLOSEOUT MEETING FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2021 TO DECEMBER 31, 2022

An onsite closeout meeting was held February 14, 2024. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF SUGARCREEK

Ms. Luan E. Morris, Secretary/Treasurer

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Ms. Penelope Fjellanger, Auditor

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Michael Carroll

Secretary
Department of Transportation

Township of Sugarcreek

Armstrong County 1807 State Route 268 East Brady, PA 16028

The Honorable Shirley A. Rodgers Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. Luan E. Morris Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.