### ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

### City of Arnold

Westmoreland County, Pennsylvania 64-301

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022

### September 2024



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Michael Carroll Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Arnold, Westmoreland County, for the period January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022. The municipality's management is responsible for presenting the Form MS-965 in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the Form MS-965. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Form MS-965, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our qualified opinion.

We are required to be independent and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with ethical requirements relating to the engagement.

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the municipality did not maintain documentation, such as invoices, to support expenditures of \$34,298.19 during 2022 for road paving. The municipality reimbursed \$34,298.19 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 2, 2023, which was subsequent to our examination period.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Form MS-965 With Adjustments presents, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the City of Arnold, Westmoreland County, for the period January 1, 2022 to December 31, 2022, in accordance with the criteria described in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Form MS-965; any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements; and abuse that has a material effect on the Form MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Form MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the findings listed below, that we consider to be material weaknesses in internal control:

- Documentation Supporting Expenditures Was Not Available For Examination.
- Electronic Imaging Of Cancelled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of The Checks And One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Check.

### **Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the City of Arnold, Westmoreland County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Form MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The purpose of this report is to determine whether the municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money is spent in accordance with the laws and regulations identified in the Background section of this report and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*. This report is not suitable for any other purpose.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the City of Arnold, Westmoreland County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Bureau of County Audits at 717-787-1363.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

Timothy L. Detool

June 25, 2024

### CONTENTS

<u>Pag</u>	<u>ge</u>
Background	.1
Financial Section:	
2022 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	.5
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Documentation Supporting Expenditures Was Not Available For Examination	.8
Finding No. 2 - Electronic Imaging Of Cancelled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of The Checks And One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Check	10
Summary Of Onsite Closeout Meeting	12
Report Distribution	13

### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Act 655 of 1956, as amended, see also 72 P.S. § 2615.5, et sec., provides municipalities other than counties (i.e., townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based upon: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state; and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

Title 75 Pa.C.S. § 9511 of the Pennsylvania Vehicle Code provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called either the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In such a case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* includes the policies and procedures for the administration of Act 655, as amended, and the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

To qualify for the annual allocation of Liquid Fuels Tax funds, *Publication 9* indicates that each municipality shall:

- 1. Submit annual reports (MS-965, Actual Use Report, MS-965P, Project and Miscellaneous Receipts, and MS-965S, Record of Checks).
- 2. Make deposits and payments or expenditures in compliance with Act 655 of 1956, as amended. Failure to do so may result in not receiving allocations from PennDOT until all discrepancies are resolved. *Publication 9*, Section 2.6, includes information about investing Liquid Fuels Tax monies, using loan or bond proceeds, and types of receipts into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Background (Continued)

- 3. Submit the Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development's (DCED) Report of Elected and Appointed Officials by January 31<sup>st</sup> and the Survey of Financial Condition By March 15<sup>th</sup>.
- 4. Ensure resolution of all Contractor Responsibility Program (CRP) holds and blocks imposed by the Department of Revenue and the Department of Labor and Industry.
- 5. Ensure resolution of all reimbursements required as a result of audits performed by the Department of the Auditor General or monitoring reviews performed by the Department of Transportation's Financial Consultants.

#### Criteria

The criteria for the Form MS-965 With Adjustment are described below.

Section 1 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

2019	2020	2021	2022		
\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00	\$11,800.00		

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs that are less than or equal to the amounts indicated below:

2019	2020	2021	2022
\$11,100.00	\$11,300.00	\$11,500.00	\$11,800.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

### Background (Continued)

Section 2 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is available from the Department of Transportation in March of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- Municipalities that transferred roads from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to the municipality through the Highway Transfer Program receive annual turnback allocations in March of each year from the Department of Transportation. Turnback allocations are based on the mileage of the roads transferred.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3 of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Background** (Continued)

### Basis of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

#### Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

#### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

### CITY OF ARNOLD WESTMORELAND COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
Minor equipment purchases	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Computer/Computer related training		_		-		_	
Major equipment purchases		-		-		-	
Agility projects		-		-		-	
Cleaning streets and gutters		400.00		-		400.00	
Winter maintenance services		33,932.23		-		33,932.23	
Traffic control devices		1,438.02		-		1,438.02	
Street lighting	1	14,647.50		-		114,647.50	
Storm sewers and drains		2,296.12		-		2,296.12	
Repairs of tools and machinery		22,270.80		-		22,270.80	
Maintenance and repair of							
roads and bridges		39,867.51		-		39,867.51	
Highway construction and							
rebuilding projects		-		-		-	
Miscellaneous							
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$ 2	14,852.18	\$		\$	214,852.18	

### CITY OF ARNOLD WESTMORELAND COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Balance, January 1, 2022	\$	141,810.54	\$	-	\$	141,810.54	
Receipts:							
2. State allocation		152,030.52		-		152,030.52	
2a. Turnback allocation		-		-		-	
2b. Interest on investments		1,967.39		-		1,967.39	
2c. Miscellaneous							
3. Total receipts		153,997.91				153,997.91	
4. Total funds available		295,808.45				295,808.45	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		214,852.18				214,852.18	
6. Balance, December 31, 2022	\$	80,956.27	\$		\$	80,956.27	

### CITY OF ARNOLD WESTMORELAND COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2022 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		Adjusted Amount		
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	141,810.54	\$	-	\$	141,810.54	
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)		30,406.10		-		30,406.10	
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments		<u>-</u>					
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		172,216.64		-		172,216.64	
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures							
6. Remainder		172,216.64				172,216.64	
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	80,956.27	\$		\$	80,956.27	

### Finding No. 1 - Documentation Supporting Expenditures Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the municipality did not maintain documentation, such as invoices, to support expenditures of \$34,298.19 during 2022 for road paving. Good internal control procedures ensure that there is documentation to support all expenditures.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including expenditures made without supporting documentation, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

Without adequate documentation, we could not determine if the expenditures were permissible according to the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations.

The municipality stated that the condition occurred because the supporting invoices were misplaced.

The failure to maintain documentation to support expenditures could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$34,298.19 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The municipality reimbursed \$34,298.19 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on February 2, 2023, which was subsequent to our examination period.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the municipality ensure good internal control over expenditures by maintaining adequate documentation to support all expenditures.

### Finding No. 1 - Documentation Supporting Expenditures Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

### Management's Response

The administrative assistant stated:

We could not find the two vendor invoices. My start date with the municipality was March 13, 2023, which was subsequent to the audit period.

### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

### Finding No. 2 - Electronic Imaging Of Cancelled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of The Checks And One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Check

Our examination disclosed that the imaging of cancelled checks from the bank for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account was not acceptable because the bank provides only an image of the front side of the cancelled checks. For us to properly complete our examination testing, we have to examine the front and back of the cancelled checks. Because the test of disbursements was limited, we had to qualify our opinion as stated in the Independent Auditor's Report.

Good internal controls and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Management Directive 210.11, dated June 16, 1997, require that imaging systems comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) procedures for record keeping with electronic imaging. To be acceptable, the documents provided by the system must meet IRS procedures governing size, content, format, and pattern. Those procedures require that all images produced by the imaging system exhibit a high degree of legibility and readability when displayed on paper. Legibility includes the ability to identify all letters and numerals positively and quickly. Readability includes the ability to recognize a group of letters or numerals as words or completed numbers. Imaged documents must include the front and back of a document in which both the front and back are used.

Further, good internal controls ensure that by having a municipal official review the front and back of the cancelled checks, any errors or misappropriations can be detected on a timely basis.

Without this control, the potential exists for errors or misappropriations to go undetected for long periods of time.

Additionally, our examination disclosed that only one signature was required to authorize a check drawn on the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. When only one signature is required, there is a significant risk of unauthorized disbursements, errors, or misappropriations occurring and remaining undetected. To decrease this risk, good internal controls require that at least two authorized signatures be required to authorize checks drawn on the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The municipality stated that the conditions occurred because the financial institution that maintains the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund does not provide the imaging of backs of checks. The financial institution was not able to go back and provide the municipality with images of the backs of checks. Additionally, the city treasurer failed to obtain a second signature on a check issued from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Finding No. 2 - Electronic Imaging Of Cancelled Checks From The Bank Did Not Include The Back Of The Checks And One Signature On Liquid Fuels Tax Fund Check (Continued)

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipal officials obtain images of the front and back of cancelled checks in accordance with Directive 210.11. Municipal officials should review the front and back of each cancelled check for any errors or misappropriations. Additionally, we recommend that the municipality improve internal control procedures over disbursements by requiring at least two signatures on all disbursements from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund account.

### Management's Response

The administrative assistant stated:

We were unaware that we needed the back of checks. I will be sure to get copies of the backs of checks in the future.

It was an oversight that the check was not signed twice. My start date with the municipality was March 13, 2023, which was subsequent to the audit period.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

# CITY OF ARNOLD WESTMORELAND COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF ONSITE CLOSEOUT MEETING FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2022 TO DECEMBER 31, 2022

An onsite closeout meeting was held February 6, 2024. Those participating were:

### CITY OF ARNOLD

Ms. Jamie Rice, Administrative Assistant

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**

Ms. Penelope Fjellanger, Auditor

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Michael Carroll

Secretary
Department of Transportation

City of Arnold

Westmoreland County 1829 Fifth Avenue Arnold, PA 15068

**Mr. Mario Bellavia**City Manager

Ms. Jamie Rice
Administrative Assistant

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov">news@PaAuditor.gov</a>.