COMPLIANCE AUDIT

City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund

Northampton County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022

February 2024



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Easton Northampton County Easton, PA 18042

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund for the period January 1, 2021 to December 31, 2022. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension trust fund was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension trust fund was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the respective plans' governing documents and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plans as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the respective pension plans in accordance with the plans' governing documents and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plans' governing documents in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plans.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plans' governing documents, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefits due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and paid to the recipients.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2021 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2020 and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether all annual special ad hoc postretirement reimbursements received by the municipality were authorized and appropriately deposited in accordance with Act 147 by tracing information to supporting documentation maintained by plan officials.
- We determined whether the pension trust fund is in compliance with Act 205 for distressed municipalities through inquiry of plan officials and evaluation of the recovery remedies implemented during the audit period.

- We determined whether the terms and methodologies of the issuance of pension obligation bonds by the municipality, and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions and Act 205 through inquiry of plan officials and examination of supporting documentation for pension obligation bonds issued during the current audit period.
- We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plans' governing documents.

City of Easton contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for an audit of its basic financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2021, which is available at the city's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Police Pension Plan

Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Benefit Provisions And Provision Of Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code

Firemen's Pension Plan

Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Provision Of Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code These findings repeat conditions that were cited in our previous report that have not been corrected by city officials. We are concerned by the city's failure to correct those previously reported findings and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendations noted in this audit report.

As previously noted, one of the objectives of our audit of the City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund was to determine compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. Among several provisions relating to municipal pension plans, Act 205, which was amended on September 18, 2009, through the adoption of Act 44 of 2009, provides for the implementation of a distress recovery program. Three levels of distress have been established:

Level	Indication	Funding Criteria
Ι	Minimal distress	70-89%
II	Moderate distress	50-69%
III	Severe distress	Less than 50%

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the funded status of the plan contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report which indicates the police pension plan's funded ratio is 53.7%, the firefighters' pension plan's funded ratio is 67.6% and the officers' and employees' pension plan's funded ratio is 60.8% as of January 1, 2021, which is the most recent data available. Based on this information, and the funded status of the city's Officers' and Employee' PMRS Pension Plan, the Municipal Pension Reporting Program issued a notification that the city is currently in Level II moderate distress status. We encourage city officials to monitor the funding of its pension plans to ensure their long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of City of Easton and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank city officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. Detoor

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General January 29, 2024

CONTENTS

Background1
Status of Prior Findings
Findings and Recommendations:
Police Pension Plan:
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Benefit Provisions And Provision Of Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code
Firemen's Pension Plan:
Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Provision Of Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code12
Supplementary Information16
Report Distribution List

Page

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a two percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 67 -	The Third Class City Code, Act of November 24, 2015 (P.L. 242, No. 67),
	as amended, 11 Pa. C.S. § 10101 <u>et seq</u> .

- Act 147 Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, Act of December 14, 1988 (P.L. 1192, No. 147), as amended, 53 P.S. § 896.101 et seq.
- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1158, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 et seq.

The City of Easton Aggregated Pension Trust Fund acts as a common investment and administrative agent for the city's police, firemen's and officers' and employees' defined benefit pension plans.

The City of Easton Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Article II of Chapter 110 of the city's codified ordinances. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its police officers. The plan was established July 1, 1923. Active members are required to contribute six percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2022, the plan had 63 active members, no terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 81 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

The City of Easton Firemen's Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Article V of Chapter 110 of the city's codified ordinances. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its firefighters. The plan was established June 23, 1931. Active members are required to contribute six and one-half percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2022, the plan had 43 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 53 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

The City of Easton Officers' and Employees' Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Article III of Chapter 110 of the city's codified ordinances for non-uniformed employees hired prior to January 1, 1979. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its non-uniformed employees. The plan was established May 23, 1945. Active members are required to contribute six and one-half percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2022, the plan had 1 active member, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 49 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATE PENSION TRUST FUND STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Compliance With Prior Recommendation

City of Easton has complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In A Net Underpayment Of State Aid

During the current audit period, city officials complied with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 and accurately reported the required pension data.

Noncompliance With Prior Recommendations

City of Easton has not complied with the prior recommendations concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

Police Pension Plan

• Inconsistent Benefit Provisions And Provision Of Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code

Firemen's Pension Plan

· <u>Provision Of Benefits Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code;</u>

Status of Prior Recommendation

Officers' and Employees' Pension Plan

· Incorrect Pension Benefit Calculations

As disclosed in the prior audit report, the city was notified that two members of the officers' and employees' pension plan who retired during 2015 and 2016, respectively, had their pension benefits incorrectly determined. The city failed to accurately calculate the members' service increment portion of their monthly pension benefit in accordance with the plan's governing document. It was previously recommended that the city adjusts the retirees' monthly benefits in accordance with the service increment provision contained in the plan's governing document. During the current period, it was determined that the City has continued to pay the monthly benefits to these two retirees which include the service increments in excess of the plan's governing document. City officials indicated that the city entered into separation agreements with two long-standing employees as an early incentive offer in an effort to amicably resolve any and all existing and/or potential disputes relating to and in connection with these employees' employment with the city.

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATE PENSION TRUST FUND STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Incorrect Pension Benefit Calculations - (Continued)

•

Given the City's position on this matter and considering that the city received its state aid based on unit value during the current audit period, and therefore, the city did not receive any state aid attributable to the excess benefits provided, the finding will not be repeated in this audit report. The Department will, however, continue to monitor the effect of the excess benefit on the city's future state aid allocations during subsequent audits of the plan.

Police Pension Plan

<u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Benefit</u> <u>Provisions And Provision Of Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With The</u> <u>Third Class City Code</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the five most recent audit reports, the City of Easton operated pursuant to the Optional Third Class City Charter Law, Act of July 15, 1957 (P.L. 901, No. 399), as amended, 53 P.S. § 41101 <u>et seq</u>. prior to January 2, 2008, and its pension plans were not subject to the constraints of the Third Class City Code. The city adopted a home rule charter pursuant to the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2901 <u>et seq</u>. (previously 53 P.S. § 1-101 <u>et seq</u>.), effective January 2, 2008, and pursuant to the change, the city's pension plans were subject to the constraints of the Third Class City Code for employees hired after adoption of the home rule charter. Prior audits disclosed that the city continued to provide benefits to police officers, hired on or after the effective date noted above, that were not consistent with the Third Class City Code and remained unchanged during the current audit period.

In addition, the police pension plan's governing document, Article II of Chapter 110 of City Codified Ordinances, continued to maintain benefit provisions that conflict with the collective bargaining agreement negotiated between the police officers and the city. The inconsistent benefit provisions are illustrated below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Third Class City Code
Minimum retirement benefit	The minimum pension payable under this section shall be \$3,000 per year.	Not provided	Not provided
Service requirement	The later of the member's 50 th birthday and the 20 th anniversary of the date on which employment commenced.	Any covered employee may make application to retire at 20 years of service regardless of age.	A minimum service requirement of at least 20 years of continuous service for a normal retirement benefit and a minimum age of 50 years, if prescribed.

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Third Class City Code
Service-related disability	Should a participant with 12 or fewer years of service become permanently disabled as the result of an on-duty injury, regardless of age of such participant, he shall be entitled to receive such portion of pension as his service up to the date of his termination bears to 20 years of service.	2018-2020 CBA: Not provided 2021-2024 CBA: Regardless of age or service, a police officer with a disability pension shall receive 50% of the officer's annual compensation.	A service-related disability benefit equal to the normal monthly pension benefit, regardless of the time served.
Member's contributions	A participant is required to contribute 6% of pensionable compensation. Each participant is required to contribute \$1 per month for the service increment contribution until the member has reached age 60.	For all covered employees, pension contributions shall be by payroll deduction at 6.5% of pensionable wages plus \$2 per pay for survivor's benefits until the Police Pension Fund becomes a Level One Distressed (at least 70% funding), at which point, it reverts to current levels which are 6% and \$1 for survivor benefit.	A member's contribution rate of up to 5% of the officer's compensation, plus service increment contributions. Service increment contributions are payable only to age 65.

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Third Class City Code
Monthly pension benefit	A participant shall receive an annual pension equal to 50% of the employee's pensionable compensation. (Pensionable compensation is defined as the annual salary plus degree pay, longevity pay, personal day buyback, holiday pay, scheduled shift differential, and acting rank pay for regularly scheduled shift of a participant on the date in question.)	Any covered employee with 20 years of service shall be entitled to 50% of pensionable compensation.	The basis of the apportionment of the pension: (1) Shall be determined by the rate of the monthly pay of the member at the date of injury, death, honorable discharge, vesting under section 14302.1 (relating to limited vested benefit) or retirement, or the highest average annual salary that the member received during any five years of service preceding injury, death, honorable discharge, vesting under section 14302.1 or retirement, whichever is higher. (2) Except as to service increments provided for in subsection (d), may not exceed in a year one- half the annual pay of the member computed at the monthly or average annual rate, whichever is higher. section 14303(b)

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Third Class City Code
Service increments	The service increment shall be obtained by computing the number of whole years after 20 years of service, including credit for military service as provided in this plan, and multiplying the number of years so computed by 2.5% of pensionable compensation for each year of service to a maximum of 75% of pensionable compensation after 30 years of service. No employment after the participant has reached the age of 60 years shall be included.	Any covered employee with over 20 years of service shall be entitled to additional service increments of 2.5% of pensionable compensation for each additional year of service to a maximum of seventy-five (75%) of pensionable compensation after thirty (30) years of service. Any employee hired after January 1, 2015 with 20 years of service shall be entitled to a maximum of 50% pensionable compensation with no additional increases.	A service increment provision, indicating that additional benefits accrue to age 65 for service exceeding the minimum required for retirement in an amount equal to 1/40 th (2.5%) times the monthly <u>pension benefit</u> for each whole year over the minimum, not to exceed \$500 per month. [Emphasis added]

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Third Class City Code
Nonservice- related disability	A participant who has not less than 15 years of service who shall be deemed unfit or incapacitated physically or mentally from performing active service eligible for pension benefits in accordance with § 110- 15A <i>(normal retirement)</i> . Should a participant become permanently disabled as to render him unable to perform the duties of his position after 12 years of service but prior to 15 years of service, regardless of age of such participant, he shall be entitled to receive such portion of the full pension described in § 110-15A <i>(normal retirement)</i> as the period of his service up to the date of his termination bears to 15 years of service.	Regardless of age or service, a police officer with a disability pension shall receive 50% of the officer's annual compensation. (Auditor's Note: Disability provision does not differentiate between on-duty injury or other.)	For officers with less than 10 years of service, a pension equal to 25% of his annual compensation. For officers with more than 10 years of service, a pension equal to 50% of annual compensation.

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Criteria</u>: As previously disclosed, on January 24, 2001, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinion in *Municipality of Monroeville v. Monroeville Police Department Wage Policy Committee.* Therein, the court held that Section 2962(c)(5) of the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2962(c)(5), "clearly precludes home rule municipalities from providing pension benefits different from those prescribed in general law including Act 600." The court's holding was in accord with the position taken by this department since at least January 1995. Therefore, for police officers hired on or after January 2, 2008, the pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with the provisions of the Third Class City Code.

Additionally, a governing document which contains clearly defined and updated benefit provisions is a prerequisite for the consistent, sound administration of retirement benefits.

<u>Cause</u>: The city has not been able to amend its police pension plan governing document accordingly since release of the prior audit report to comply with the Third Class City Code regarding the aforementioned inconsistencies in plan documents as previously recommended.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan (*It was noted previously that a member began receiving a retirement benefit at the age of 45 in 2011 because of the inconsistency between the governing document and the collective bargaining agreement*).

Providing unauthorized pension benefits increases the plan's pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the city received state aid based on unit value during the current audit period, it did not receive allocations attributable to the pension benefits provided. However, the increased costs to the pension plan as a result of unauthorized pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increased municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

Furthermore, as previously noted, the plan's governing document contains provisions that could result in plan members receiving pension benefits that are not in accordance with statutorily prescribed provisions of the Third Class City Code.

Police Pension Plan - (Continued)

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the city provide pension benefits in accordance with the Third Class City Code for all police officers who began full-time employment on or after January 2, 2008 at its earliest opportunity to do so. To the extent that the city is not in compliance with the Third Class City Code and/or is contractually obligated to provide benefits not authorized by the Third Class City Code to police officers who began employment on or after January 2, 2008, these benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, such benefits will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, on the city's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the department.

We also again recommend that municipal officials eliminate the inconsistencies between the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Special note should be taken that the department's application of *Monroeville* only to employees hired on or after January 2, 2008, does <u>not</u> sanction (1) a municipality's granting excess benefits to existing or future employees when none had been granted as of January 2, 2008, or (2) a municipality's increasing excess benefits for existing or future employees beyond those that had been granted as of that date.

Management's Response: The city provided the following response during the prior audit:

In 2021, the City Administration and Fraternal Order of Police successfully negotiated a new, four-year Collective Bargaining Agreement and proposed revisions to the Police Pension Ordinance (Governing Document) to ensure that they are in line with Third Class City Code. These amendments should alleviate the inconsistent and out-of-compliance pension benefit provisions seen in prior versions of these documents.

In addition, the Administration and FOP are in the final stages of revising the City's pension ordinance for the police officers. Once those revisions are completed, they will be presented to Easton City Council for approval and should bring the Governing Document in-line with Third Class City Code.

Based on follow up with the city during conduct of the current engagement, the city indicated that they continue working towards compliance regarding this issue.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears the city intends to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

Firemen's Pension Plan

<u>Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Provision Of Benefits</u> <u>Not In Compliance With The Third Class City Code</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the five most recent audit reports, the City of Easton operated pursuant to the Optional Third Class City Charter Law, Act of July 15, 1957 (P.L. 901, No. 399), as amended, 53 P.S. § 41101 <u>et seq</u>. prior to January 2, 2008, and its pension plans were not subject to the constraints of the Third Class City Code. The city adopted a home rule charter pursuant to the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2901 <u>et seq</u>. (previously 53 P.S. § 1-101 <u>et seq</u>.), effective January 2, 2008, and pursuant to the change, the city's pension plans were subject to the constraints of the Third Class City Code for employees hired after adoption of the home rule charter. Prior audits disclosed that the city continued to provide benefits to firefighters, hired on or after the effective date noted above, that were not consistent with the Third Class City Code and remained unchanged during the current audit period, as noted below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Third Class City Code
Monthly pension benefit	The greater of the compensation at the date of retirement or 1/12 of the highest average annual salary during any five years of service preceding retirement. The multiplier percentage set forth correspondent to years of service; 20 years at 50%, 21 years at 52.5%, 22 years at 55%, 23 years at 57.5%, 24 years at 60%, 25 years at 62.5%, 26 years at 65%, 27 years at 67.5%, 28 years at 70%, 29 years at 72.5%, and 30 years at 75%.	A monthly pension benefit of 50% of the higher of the monthly salary at the date of termination or the highest average annual salary during any 5 years of service.
	(Collective bargaining agreement modified provision for members hired after January 1, 2013, to 50% of average monthly compensation.)	

Firemen's Pension Plan – (Continued)

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Third Class City Code
Definition of salary	A firefighter's total gross annual compensation, including, without limitation, position salary, longevity pay, degree pay, extra-duty pays, and birthday pay.	A definition of salary as the fixed amount of compensation paid at regular, periodic intervals by the city to the member and from which pension contributions have been deducted.
Member's contributions	A monthly contribution equal to 4.5% of compensation, plus an additional 1% of compensation towards the survivor benefit and an additional \$1 per month for the service increment contribution.	A member's contribution rate of up to 5% of the firefighter's compensation, plus service increment contributions.
Minimum pension benefit	The pension benefit of any participant who becomes entitled to a pension benefit under the plan shall not be less than \$3,000 annually.	Not provided
Survivor benefit	Nonservice-related death benefits where participant has 20 or more years of service. The surviving spouse of any participant who has retired or died while in service after having served for a minimum of 20 years, shall during their lifetime receive a pension benefit of the amount which would have been payable had the firefighter been retired and 50 years of age at the time of death.	A survivor's benefit to the surviving spouse of a deceased retiree or employee equal to the amount that the member was receiving or would have been receiving if he was retired and continuing during the spouse's life.

Firemen's Pension Plan - (Continued)

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Criteria</u>: As previously disclosed, on January 24, 2001, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinion in *Municipality of Monroeville v. Monroeville Police Department Wage Policy Committee.* Therein, the court held that Section 2962(c)(5) of the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2962(c)(5), "clearly precludes home rule municipalities from providing pension benefits different from those prescribed in general law including Act 600." The court's holding was in accord with the position taken by this department since at least January 1995. Therefore, for firefighters hired on or after January 2, 2008, the pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with the Third Class City Code.

<u>Cause</u>: The city has not been able to amend its firemen's pension plan governing document accordingly since release of the prior audit report to comply with the Third Class City Code regarding the aforementioned inconsistencies in plan documents as previously recommended.

<u>Effect</u>: Providing unauthorized pension benefits increases the plan's pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the city received state aid based on unit value during the current audit period, it did not receive allocations attributable to the excess pension benefits provided. However, the increased costs to the pension plan as a result of these unauthorized pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increased municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the city provide pension benefits in accordance with the Third Class City Code for all firefighters who began full-time employment on or after January 2, 2008, upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement. To the extent that the city is not in compliance with the Third Class City Code and/or is contractually obligated to provide benefits not authorized by the Third Class City Code to firefighters who began employment on or after January 2, 2008, these benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, such benefits will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, on the city's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the department.

Special note should be taken that the department's application of *Monroeville* only to employees hired on or after January 2, 2008, does <u>not</u> sanction (1) a municipality's granting excess benefits to existing or future employees when none had been granted as of January 2, 2008, or (2) a municipality's increasing excess benefits for existing or future employees beyond those that had been granted as of that date.

Firemen's Pension Plan - (Continued)

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Management's Response</u>: The city provided the following in addition to the response disclosed in Finding No. 1:

The City Administration also negotiated a successful four-year Collective Bargaining Agreement in 2020 with the International Association of Firefighters. The new Collective Bargaining Agreement attempts to address non-compliant provisions of benefits while proposed amendments to the Governing Document (Fire Pension Ordinance) are also being reviewed with the purpose of bringing both documents in line with Third Class City Code. This should alleviate the provisions of benefits currently not in compliance.

Based on follow up with the city during conduct of the current engagement, the city indicated that they continue working towards compliance regarding this issue.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears the city intends to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 21,864,956	\$ 40,589,613	\$ 18,724,657	53.9%
01-01-19	24,608,642	46,417,326	21,808,684	53.0%
01-01-21	28,946,249	53,911,405	24,965,156	53.7%

POLICE PENSION PLAN

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-17, 01-01-19, and 01-01-21 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses subject to a corridor between 90 to 110 percent of the market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS – (Continued)

FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 21,575,242	\$ 30,274,312	\$ 8,699,070	71.3%
01-01-19	24,736,465	35,118,290	10,381,825	70.4%
01-01-21	27,299,147	40,359,257	13,060,110	67.6%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-17, 01-01-19, and 01-01-21 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses subject to a corridor between 90 to 110 percent of the market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS – (Continued)

OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 5,111,875	\$ 9,086,749	\$ 3,974,874	56.3%
01-01-19	5,139,930	8,668,202	3,528,272	59.3%
01-01-21	4,782,097	7,866,762	3,084,665	60.8%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-17, 01-01-19, and 01-01-21 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses subject to a corridor between 90 to 110 percent of the market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

POLICE PENSION PLAN

Year Ended	Actuarially Determined	Actual	Contribution Deficiency	Covered- Employee	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee
December 31	Contribution	Contributions	(Excess)	Payroll	Payroll
Determoer 51	Contribution	Contributions	(Excess)	<u>1 ayron</u>	<u> </u>
2014	\$ 1,703,854	\$ 1,703,854	\$ -	\$4,648,695	36.65%
2015	2,304,171	2,304,171	-	4,755,170	48.46%
2016	2,246,363	2,246,363	-	4,758,818	47.20%
2017	2,510,888	2,510,888	-	4,561,549	55.04%
2018	2,501,073	2,501,073	-	4,837,962	51.70%
2019	2,887,077	2,887,077	-	4,617,458	62.53%
2020	2,884,612	2,884,612	-	4,850,072	59.48%
2021	3,564,696	3,564,696	-	5,261,502	67.75%
2022	3,560,992	3,560,992	-	*	*

* Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2022 was not provided in this schedule.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	Actuarially Determined Contribution	Actual Contributions	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Covered- Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2014	\$ 1,061,666	\$ 1,061,666	\$ -	\$3,081,522	34.45%
2015	1,415,944	1,415,944	-	3,624,573	39.07%
2016	1,418,639	1,418,639	-	3,782,072	37.51%
2017	1,508,983	1,508,983	-	2,918,361	51.71%
2018	1,522,361	1,522,361	-	2,841,066	53.58%
2019	1,463,642	1,463,642	-	3,594,188	40.72%
2020	1,525,438	1,525,438	-	3,665,119	41.62%
2021	1,943,102	1,943,102	-	3,283,772	59.17%
2022	2,013,200	2,013,200	-	*	*

* Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2022 was not provided in this schedule.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Year Ended December 31	De	ctuarially etermined ntribution	Actual htributions	Contribut Deficien (Excess	cy Employee	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2014	\$	524,433	\$ 524,433	\$ -	\$ 242,604	216.17%
2015		804,861	804,861	-	180,815	445.13%
2016		782,506	782,506	-	143,982	543.47%
2017		827,782	827,782	-	117,832	702.51%
2018		828,255	828,255	-	75,486	1,097.23%
2019		436,867	436,867	-	77,184	566.01%
2020		437,370	437,370	-	79,500	550.15%
2021		446,960	446,960	-	85,574	522.31%
2022		447,608	447,608	-	*	*

* Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2022 was not provided in this schedule.

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATED PENSION TRUST FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

POLICE PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	11 years
Asset valuation method	Plan assets are valued using the method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, subject to a corridor between 90-110% of the market value of assets.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	6.25%
Projected salary increases	5.00%

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATED PENSION TRUST FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

FIREMEN'S PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	10 years
Asset valuation method	Plan assets are valued using the method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, subject to a corridor between 90-110% of the market value of assets.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	6.25%
Projected salary increases	5.00%

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATED PENSION TRUST FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

OFFICERS' AND EMPLOYEES' PENSION PLAN

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	10 years
Asset valuation method	Plan assets are valued using the method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, subject to a corridor between 90-110% of the market value of assets.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	6.25%
Projected salary increases	5.00%

CITY OF EASTON AGGREGATED PENSION TRUST FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Salvatore J. Panto, Jr.

Mayor

Mr. Luis Campos City Administrator

Mr. Mark Lysynecky Finance Director

Mr. Peter Koorie Deputy Finance Director

Ms. Rita M. Messa City Clerk

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.